

G/Don - Ghost Formulation of QCD -

(with: Th. Grandou, Y. Gabellini, Y-M Sheu)

• New functional approach to non-perturbative QCD.

General Properties:

1) Define Schwingerian functional rep. for $Z_{\text{QCD}} = \langle 0 | (e^{i \int \bar{\psi} \cdot A + \bar{\psi} \cdot \not{D} \psi}) | 0 \rangle$
which is MGI and MLC; impossible for QED but uniquely possible for QCD.

• Effect is to treat all gluon exchanges between Q_s and/or \bar{Q}_s as "virtual ghost particles", with $D_{c,\mu\nu}^{(s)ab}$ removed;
• MGI_{Inv.} by MGI_{Ind.}

2) Convenient re-arrangement of Sch. solvs for all n-pt. QCD functions in terms of "linkage operations" on $\mathcal{F}[G_c[A], L[A]]$, where:

$$G_c[A] = [m + \delta \cdot D - i g \delta \cdot A \cdot \lambda]^{-1}, \quad L[A] = \text{Tr} \ln [L - i g \delta \cdot A \cdot \lambda], \quad S_c = G_c | 0 \rangle.$$

• Definition of "Linkage operator": $\mathcal{D}_A = -\frac{i}{2} \int \frac{\delta}{\delta A} D_c \frac{\delta}{\delta A}$,

$e^{\mathcal{D}_A} \mathcal{F}[A]$ connects all A-dep of $\mathcal{F}[A]$ in pairwise fashion.

3) Fradkin functional reps. for $G_c[A]$ and $L[A]$, where both $G_c[A]$ and $L[A]$ are given as F.I.s over exponentials of linear and quadratic A-dep.

• All linkage operations can be performed exactly; what remains are the Fradkin F.I.s which can be easily approximated in different physical situations.

In simplest, quenched, eikonal example below, Faddein reps not needed; but importance of the method is that, in general, Σ all Feynman graphs can be included.

4) Results take a simple and important form of "Effective Locality", as in eikonal calculation; but EL is true in general.

[Ref.: Eur. Phys. Journal C: 2009-001-10.1140/epic/s10052-009-1224-9; arXiv: 0903.2644 v2 [hep-th]]

5) Idealistic vs. Realistic QCD

A) All quanta of all fields are measurable, with asymptotic states defined in terms of g. nos. \leftarrow Ideal QFT.

B) Realistic QCD: Color is confined; all asymp. g. nos. cannot be defined (e.g., P_{\pm} of conf./bound Q/\bar{q}).

We begin QCD analysis using A, but find - as a result of EL - that transition to B is unavoidable.

\Rightarrow All details in above Reference.

6) Sch. Funct. solⁿ in QED (rearranged):

$$Z_{QED}[\bar{\psi}, \psi, \bar{q}, q] = N e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \int d^4x \mathcal{L}_A} \cdot e^{\int d^4x \bar{\psi} \mathcal{G}_A \psi + L[A]} \quad |_{A=\int d^4x \mathcal{L}_A}$$

$$N^{-1} = \langle 0|S|0 \rangle = e^{\int d^4x \mathcal{L}_A} e^{L[A]} \quad |_{A \rightarrow 0}$$

NB: $\mathcal{G}_A, L[A] \leftarrow$ Pot. theory; $e^{\int d^4x \mathcal{L}_A} \dots \Rightarrow$ QFT.

$$Z_{\text{QCD}}[j, \eta, \bar{\eta}] = N' e^{\frac{i}{g} \int \mathcal{D}\psi \mathcal{D}\bar{\psi}} e^{-\frac{i}{4} \int F^2 + \frac{i}{2} \int A (D_c)^{-1} A}$$

$$e^{i \int \bar{\eta} G_c[A] \eta + L[A]} \quad | \quad A_\mu^a = \int \mathcal{D}_{\mu\nu}^{ab} j_\nu^b$$

with : $F_{\mu\nu}^a = \partial_\mu A_\nu^a - \partial_\nu A_\mu^a + g f_{abc} A_\mu^b A_\nu^c$.

Initial, gauge-dep. $e^{\frac{i}{g} \int \mathcal{D}\psi \mathcal{D}\bar{\psi}}$ not relevant to Q/\bar{Q} processes, and is dropped.

Our example of Q/\bar{Q} scattering requires :

$$M \sim e^{\int \bar{\eta} G_c^I[A] G_c^II[A] \eta} \cdot e^{L[A] + \frac{i}{4} \int F^2} \cdot e^{\frac{i}{2} \int A (D_c)^{-1} A} \quad | \quad A \rightarrow 0$$

Use Haldern rep. (70s) : $e^{-\frac{i}{4} \int F^2} = N'' \int [dx] e^{\frac{i}{4} \int x^2 + \frac{i}{2} \int x \cdot F}$

- In quenched approx, $L[A] \rightarrow 0$, $N' \rightarrow 1$.
- In eikonal approx., well-defined in QCD, as in Abelian theories,

$$T(s, t) = \frac{is}{2\pi t^2} \int dx^b e^{i \frac{1}{g} \cdot \frac{t}{b}} \left[1 - e^{i \chi(s, b)} \right], \quad s = -(p_1 + p_2)^2$$

$$t = -(p_1 - p_1')^2 = -g^2 \frac{cm}{\rightarrow} -\frac{1}{8}^2$$

- In this Eikonal calc, neglect all Q/\bar{Q} self-energy structure; retain only interactions between Q_I and Q_{II}/\bar{Q}_{II} .

In Abelian theory : $G_c(x, y|A) \sim e^{ig p_\mu \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} ds A_\mu(y-sp)}$

In QCD : $G_c(x, y|A) \sim \left(e^{ig p_\mu \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} ds A_\mu^a(y-sp) \lambda^a} \right)_+$

$$\rightarrow \int [dx] \delta[\alpha^a(x) - g p_\mu A_\mu^a(y-sp)] \left(e^{i \int \alpha \cdot \lambda} \right)_+$$

$$\rightarrow \int [d\alpha] \cdot N'' \int [d\Omega] e^{i \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Omega_a(s) [\alpha^a(w) - g P_\mu A_\mu^a(y-sp)]} (e^{i \int \alpha \cdot \lambda})_+ \\ \Rightarrow N'' \int [d\alpha] \int [d\Omega] e^{i \int \Omega \cdot \alpha} (e^{i \int \alpha \cdot \lambda})_+ e^{-i \int d^4 w A_\mu^a(w) Q_\mu^a(w)}$$

with : $Q_\mu^a(w) = g P_\mu \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} ds \Omega_a(s) \delta(w-y+sp)$

Subsequently, because of EL, $\int [d\alpha] \int [d\Omega] \Rightarrow \int d^4 \alpha(w) \cdot \int d^4 \Omega(w)$.

NB : Factors of $\exp / g \int Q_\mu^a F_{\mu\nu}^a(x-sp) \Rightarrow 1$, in eikonal models of QED and QCD.

→ What remains to be calculated :

$$\left[e^{iX} \sim \int [d\alpha] e^{i \int \alpha^2} \int [d\Omega] \int [d\Omega] \dots \int [d\alpha] \int [d\Omega] \dots \right. \\ \left. e^{\int A} \cdot e^{\frac{i}{2} \int A \cdot K \cdot A + i \int A \cdot (Q_I + Q_{II} - \partial X)} \right]_{A \rightarrow 0}$$

where :

$$Q_\mu^a(w) = g P_\mu \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} ds \Omega_a(s) \delta(w-y+sp)$$

$$K_{\mu\nu}^{ab} = g f_{abc} X_{\mu\nu}^c + (D_c^{-1})^{ab}$$

and: $\langle w | X_{\mu\nu}^c | w' \rangle = \delta(w-w') X_{\mu\nu}^c(w)$, $\langle w | D_{c\mu\nu}^{ab} | w' \rangle = D_{c\mu\nu}^{ab}(w-w')$.

Here is where the "ghost magic" appears :

$$e^{-\frac{i}{2} \int A D_c A} \cdot e^{\frac{i}{2} \int A K A + i \int A \cdot (Q_I + Q_{II} - \partial X)} \Big|_{A \rightarrow 0} \\ = e^{-\frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \ln(1 - K D_c)} \cdot e^{\frac{i}{2} \int Q \cdot [D_c \frac{1}{1 - K D_c}] \cdot Q}$$

where : $K = (D_c)^{-1} + g t \cdot X$.

Then: $1 - K D_c = 1 - [(D_c)^{-1} + g f \cdot X] D_c \Rightarrow -(g f \cdot X)^{-1} D_c$,

so $\hookrightarrow e^{-\frac{i}{2g} \text{Tr} \ln(-g f \cdot X D_c)} \cdot e^{-\frac{i}{2g} \int Q \cdot D_c \cdot [(f \cdot X) \cdot D_c]^{-1} \cdot Q}$

Q-dep: $-\frac{i}{2g} \int Q \cdot D_c \cdot (D_c)^{-1} (f \cdot X)^{-1} \cdot Q = -\frac{i}{2g} \int Q \cdot (f \cdot X)^{-1} \cdot Q$,

and all D_c -dep. has been removed from the interaction!

(The $\ln(D_c)$ can be absorbed into the normalization.)

Q-dep: $-\frac{i}{2g} \iint Q(w) [f \cdot X(w)]^{-1} \delta(w-w') Q(w') = -\frac{i}{2g} \int Q(w) (f \cdot X(w))^{-1} Q(w)$

• For int. between I and II, this becomes:

$$-\frac{i}{2g} (g^2) P_{\mu\nu} P_{\nu\mu} \int d^4w \delta(w-y_1+s_1 p_1) \delta(w-y_2+s_2 p_2) (f \cdot X(w))^{-1} \int_{p_1,0}^{p_2} \Omega_{I,2}(s_1) \Omega_{II}(s_2) \\ -\frac{i}{2g} \int d^4w (\partial_\alpha X_{\mu\nu}^a(w)) \cdot (f \cdot X(w))^{-1} \cdot (\partial_\beta X_{\nu\sigma}^b(w))$$

For $g \gg 1$, drop the $(\partial X) \cdot (f \cdot X)^{-1} \cdot (\partial X)$ term,
and retain the $O(g)$ $P_{\mu\nu} P_{\nu\mu} \dots$ term.

• For HE scattering, $\delta \otimes \delta$ leads to: $ig \delta^{(2)}(\vec{b}) \Omega_{I,2}^a(\theta) D_{II}^b(\theta) (f \cdot X(w))$

where: $\omega_\mu^0 = (\vec{y}_{12}, 0_L, y_0)$, $\vec{b} = (\vec{y}_1 - \vec{y}_2)_T$.

• Only $\omega_\mu^0 \rightarrow \omega_\mu^{(g)}$ of the Halpern FI is relevant; all other values are removed (by their normalization factors).

Also, $\Omega_{I,II}(s_{1,2}) \rightarrow \Omega_{I,II}(0)$, corresponding to color charges overlapping at distance of closest approach.

Using "Realistic" QCD, as in (58), $f^{(2)}(b) \rightarrow \varphi(mb)$,

since
where $\varphi(mb) \rightarrow (2\pi)^{-2} \int d^2k_{\perp} e^{i\vec{k}_{\perp} \cdot \vec{b}} \cdot e^{-k_{\perp}^2/m^2}$, as simple example,

since large p_{\perp} of band Q/\bar{Q} cannot be measured; and all one knows is that, for a bound state, arb. large k_{\perp} cannot be included.

For this example, $\varphi(mb) \sim \pi a^2 e^{-b^2 m^2/4}$,

and in Eik. model: $m \sim O(E)$; other cut-off choices are possible, and physical forms are the same: $\varphi(mb)$ falls off rapidly for increasing b .

Rescaling the $\int d^4X$ $e^{\frac{i}{4} \int d^4w X^2(w)}$, for $X(w) \rightarrow X(w_0)$,

$\int d^4w X^2(w) \rightarrow \Delta^2 X^2(w_0)$, where $\Delta^2 \sim$ size of 4-volume about w_0 :
Choose: $\Delta^2 \sim \frac{1}{m_{\pi}^2} E R_A \sim \frac{1}{m_{\pi}^3} E^2$, $\Delta \sim \frac{1}{m_{\pi} E}$.

If $\Delta X = \bar{X}$, $\frac{i}{4} \int d^4w X^2(w) \rightarrow \frac{i}{4} \bar{X}^2$, $\int d^4X \rightarrow \int_{a_{\mu\nu}} d^4 X_{\mu\nu}^a(w_0)$
 $\rightarrow \int_{a_{\mu\nu}} \pi \Delta^{-1} \int d^4 \bar{X}_{\mu\nu}^a$,

and: $(f.X)^T \rightarrow \Delta (f.\bar{X})^T$,

so that: $g f^{(2)}(b) (f.X)^T \rightarrow \bar{g} g m^2 \Delta \sim g E^2 \frac{\bar{\varphi}}{m_{\pi} E} \sim g \left(\frac{E}{m_{\pi}}\right) \bar{\varphi}(bE)$,
 $\bar{\varphi}(bE) \sim e^{-b^2 E^2/4}$

and falls off rapidly for increasing b .

For $b \sim 0$, $g \gg 1$, $E/m_{\pi} \gg 1$,
 $\sim g \left(\frac{E}{m_{\pi}}\right) \Omega_a^I(w) \Omega_b^II(w) (f.\bar{X})^T$ $\Big|_{b_0}^{a_0}$ is "large"

What this means, physically, depends on the size of this exp. factor, which generates changes in color structure.

• For large b, $g \rightarrow 0$, & no change in color (no $\lambda_{I,II}$ brought down, as $S[\Omega] \rightarrow \delta[\Omega]$, $(e^{A\phi}) \rightarrow 1$.

• For small b: $\lambda_{I,II}$ appear, and initial \rightarrow final matrix elements \downarrow .

• Suppose we have a π state, a pion, made from $Q + \bar{Q}$.
At distances of $b \sim 1/m_\pi$, we'll have attraction due to effectively-coherent (multi-gluon) exchange. The $Q + \bar{Q}$ \therefore approach, $b \downarrow$, and color fluctuations begin, so that attraction \downarrow , effective

and $Q + \bar{Q}$ move apart. Then, attraction starts again... and the process repeats out of times.

• Like an MIT Bag Model, or Effective Asympt. Freedom.

• Details certainly subject to change... but QCD physics appears and is non-perturbative!

• Numerical integrative, over $\int d^4x$, $\int d^3x_{II} \int d^3x_{III}$ still needed for precise evaluations, for scattering and π -bound state.

• Presently working on definition of hadrons from QCD, and definition of hadron-hadron scatt. and production.

• All this due to Effective Locality, as seen in simplified Etanal Model. But EL can be shown to be rigorously true, for all QCD processes, without approximation, and without exception.